

## A MEASURE OF WEAK NONCOMPACTNESS IN $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$

I.J. Cabrera and K.B. Sadarangani

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Las Palmas de G.C.

Campus de Tafira Baja, 35017 LAS PALMAS.

We introduce a measure of weak noncompactness in the space  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$  and we prove that this measure is less or equal to the classical De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness of  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ . Moreover, we give a sufficient condition related with  $\lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  for that both measures are equal.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of measures of weak noncompactness was initiated by De Blasi in the paper [5]. This measure was applied successfully to nonlinear functional analysis, to operator theory and to the theory of differential and integral equations (see [1,2,3,7,8], for example).

In the paper [4], a formula is given to express the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness in the Lebesgue space  $L^1(0,1)$ . Following the same ideas of [4], in this paper we give a measure of weak noncompactness in the space  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a nonnegative measure on a set  $\Omega$  with  $\Sigma$  a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega$ , which is less or equal to the classical De Blasi measure of weak non-compactness of  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ . Moreover, we give a sufficient condition for that both measure are equal.

### 2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $E$  be a infinite dimensional real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and zero element  $\Theta$ . Denote by  $B_E$  the closed unit ball of the space  $E$ .

Next, let  $M_E$  be the family of all nonempty and bounded subsets of  $E$  and let  $N_E^w$  be its subfamily consisting of all relatively weakly compact sets.

The so-called De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness  $\beta : M_E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is defined in the following way:

$$\beta(X) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \exists Y \in N_E^w / X \subset Y + \varepsilon B_1 \}$$

Let us observe that in the case when  $E$  is a reflexive Banach space then  $\beta(X) = 0$ , for every  $X \in M_E$ . Hence it is only of interest to consider the function  $\beta$  in a nonreflexive Banach space. Recall that  $\beta(B_E) = 1$  when  $E$  is nonreflexive. For other properties of the De Blasi measure of noncompactness we refer to [5,8], for example.

In what follows, suppose  $\Omega$  is a set,  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Omega$  and  $\lambda$  a nonnegative measure on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . By  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$  we denote the following set:

$$L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda) = \left\{ f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ measurable with } \int_{\Omega} |f| d\lambda < \infty \right\}$$

Now, based on the paper [4], we introduce the function  $H$  defined on the family  $M_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}$  by the formula:

$$H(X) = \lim_{\lambda(A) \rightarrow 0} H_A(X)$$

where  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $H_A(X) = \sup_{f \in X} \left( \int_A |f| d\lambda \right)$ .

The properties of the function  $H$  will be investigated in the next section. In particular, we show that  $H$  provides a lower estimate for  $\beta_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}$

### 3. RESULTS

We start with the following result which proves that  $H$  is a measure of weak noncompactness.

**THEOREM 1.** The function  $H$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $H(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X \in N_E^w$
- (b)  $X \subset Y \Rightarrow H(X) \leq H(Y)$
- (c)  $H(cX) = |c|H(X), \forall c \in \mathbb{R}$
- (d)  $H(X + Y) \leq H(X) + H(Y)$
- (e)  $H(\text{conv} X) = H(X)$
- (f)  $H(B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}) \leq 1$

Proof.

(a) is consequence of a result of [6, pag 93], while (b) follows immediately from the definition of the function  $H$ .

In order to prove (c) let us take a set  $X \in M_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}$  and  $A \in \Sigma$ , and assume that  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \neq 0$ , then we have

$$H_A(cX) = \sup_{f \in X} \left( \int_A |cf| d\lambda \right) = \sup_{f \in X} \left( |c| \int_A |f| d\lambda \right) = |c| \sup_{f \in X} \left( \int_A |f| d\lambda \right) = |c| H_A(X)$$

and, consequently,  $H(cX) = |c|H(X)$ .

In the case when  $c = 0$ , we have that  $cX$  is zero function and, thus,  $H_A(cX) = 0$  and in this case the equality (c) is also satisfied.

In order to prove (d), let us take a set  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $f \in X$  and  $g \in Y$ . Then we have

$$\int_A |f+g| d\lambda \leq \int_A |f| d\lambda + \int_A |g| d\lambda \leq H_A(X) + H_A(Y)$$

and, thus

$$H_A(X+Y) \leq H_A(X) + H_A(Y)$$

consequently,  $H(X+Y) \leq H(X) + H(Y)$

For the proof of (e) it is sufficient to prove the inequality  $H(\text{conv}X) \leq H(X)$ . In fact, we take

$$f = \sum_i \lambda_i f_i \text{ with } \sum_i \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0, f_i \in X \text{ and, moreover, } A \in \Sigma.$$

Then we can obtain

$$\int \left| \sum_i \lambda_i f_i \right| d\lambda \leq \sum_i \lambda_i \int |f_i| d\lambda \leq \sum_i \lambda_i H_A(X)$$

consequently,  $H_A(\text{conv}X) \leq H_A(X)$  and, thus,  $H(\text{conv}X) \leq H(X)$ .

Finally, as  $H_A(X) \leq \|X\|$ , where  $\|X\| = \sup_{f \in X} \left( \int_{\Omega} |f| d\lambda \right)$ , we have that

$$H_A(B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}) \leq \|B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}\| \leq 1$$

and, thus,  $H(B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}) \leq 1$ .

This following result proves that the function  $H$  is a lower estimate for the classical De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness  $\beta$  in  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ .

**THEOREM 2.**  $H(X) \leq \beta(X)$  for any  $X \in M_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}$

**Proof.**

Suppose that  $\beta(X) = r$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a set  $Y \in \mathcal{N}_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}^w$  such that  $X \subset Y + (r + \varepsilon)B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}$  (see section 2). Hence, using theorem 1, we obtain

$$H(X) \leq H(Y) + (r + \varepsilon)H(B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}) \leq r + \varepsilon$$

in virtue of the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , this implies  $H(X) \leq \beta(X)$ .

Our last result will be to give a sufficient condition related with the  $\sigma$ -field  $\Sigma$  and the measure  $\lambda$  for that both measure  $H$  and  $\beta$  are equal. This condition has been taken following the same ideas of the paper [4].

**THEOREM 3.** Suppose that exists a sequence  $(A_n)$  of elements of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\lambda(A_n)$  tends to zero when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and, moreover, for any bounded subset  $X$  of  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ ,  $X_{\Omega - A_n} = \{f\chi_{\Omega - A_n} : f \in X\}$  is relatively weakly compact in  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$  for every  $n$ . In these conditions  $H = \beta$ .

**Proof.**

It's sufficient prove that  $\beta \leq H$ , in virtue of theorem 2. In fact, every function  $f \in L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$  may be written in the form:

$$f = f\chi_{A_n} + f\chi_{\Omega - A_n} \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of the set  $A$ .

Using the representation (1) it may be easily show that

$$X \subset X_{\Omega - A_n} + H_{A_n}(X)B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}$$

Hence, in view of the properties of the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness  $\beta$ , we get

$$\beta(X) \leq \beta(X_{\Omega - A_n}) + H_{A_n}(X) \beta(B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)})$$

In virtue of the hypothesis,  $\beta(X_{\Omega - A_n}) = 0$  and as we consider the case of  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$  a nonreflexive space, because the other case is trivial, we obtain

$$\beta(X) \leq H_{A_n}(X) \beta(B_{L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)}) = H_{A_n}(X)$$

and thus  $\beta(X) \leq H(X)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Apell and E. De Pascale, "Su alcuni parametri connessi con la misura di non compattezza de Hausdorff in spazi di funzioni misurabili", *Boll. Un. Math. Ital.* B6 (1984), 497-515.
- [2] J. Banás, "Integrable solutions of Hammerstein and Urysohn integral equations", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 46 (1989), 61-68.
- [3] J. Banás and J. Rivero, "On measures of weak noncompactness", *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* 151 (1988), 213-224.
- [4] J. Banás and K.B. Sadarangani, "Remark on a measure of weak noncompactness in the Lebesgue space", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 52 (1995), 279-286.
- [5] F.S. De Blasi, "On a property of the unit sphere in Banach spaces", *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumaine* 21 (1977), 353-358.
- [6] J. Diestel, "Sequences and series in Banach spaces", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [7] G. Emmanuele, "Measure of weak noncompactness and fixed point theorems", *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumaine* 25 (1981), 353-358.
- [8] V. Lakshmikanthan and S. Leela, "Nonlinear differential equations in abstract spaces", Pergamon Press, New York, 1981.