

## $\gamma$ -CONVERGENCE OF NETS AND FILTERS

**S. Ganguly & Ritu Sen\***

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta  
35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata-700019  
India  
e-mail: ganguly04@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract :** In this paper we discuss the notion of  $\gamma$ - convergence of nets and filters. We also introduce the concept of  $\gamma$ - compact spaces and characterize such spaces.

**AMS Subject Classification :** 54C35

**Keywords :**  $\gamma$ - open sets,  $\gamma$ - continuous functions,  $\gamma$ - irresolute functions,  $\gamma$ - convergence of nets and filters,  $\gamma$ - compact spaces.

### 1 Introduction

In [5] Min first introduced the notion of  $\gamma$ - open sets (originally called  $\gamma$ - sets). In the same paper Min has also introduced and discussed the notion of  $\gamma$ - continuous functions and  $\gamma$ - irresolute functions. Later in [1] Ganguly and Sen have introduced the concept of  $\gamma$ - convergence of nets.

The aim of this paper is to introduce  $\gamma$ - convergence of filters and discuss  $\gamma$ - convergence of nets and filters.

Throughout this paper,  $(X, \tau)$  (simply  $X$ ) and  $(Y, \tau^*)$  always mean topological spaces. Let  $S$  be a subset of  $X$ . The closure (resp. interior) of  $S$  will be denoted by  $cl(S)$  (resp.  $int(S)$ ).

A subset  $S$  of  $X$  is called a semi-open set [4] if  $S \subseteq cl(int(S))$ . The complement of a semi-open set is called a semi-closed set. The family of all semi-open sets in a topological space

---

\*The second author is thankful to CSIR, India for financial assistance.

$(X, \tau)$  will be denoted by  $SO(X)$ . A subset  $M(x)$  of a space  $X$  is called a semi-neighborhood of a point  $x \in X$  if there exists a semi-open set  $S$  such that  $x \in S \subseteq M(x)$ . In [2] Latif introduced the notion of semi-convergence of filters. Let  $S(x) = \{A \in SO(X) : x \in A\}$  and let  $S_x = \{A \subseteq X : \text{there exists } \mu \subseteq S(x) \text{ such that } \mu \text{ is finite and } \cap \mu \subseteq A\}$ . Then  $S_x$  is called the semi-neighborhood filter at  $x$ . For any filter  $\Gamma$  on  $X$  we say that  $\Gamma$  semi-converges to  $x$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is finer than the semi-neighborhood filter at  $x$ .

**Definition 1.1** [3] A subset  $U$  of  $X$  is called a  $\gamma$ -open set if whenever a filter  $\Gamma$  semi-converges to  $x$  and  $x \in U$ ,  $U \in \Gamma$ . The complement of a  $\gamma$ -open set is called a  $\gamma$ -closed set.

The intersection of all  $\gamma$ -closed sets containing  $A$  is called the  $\gamma$ -closure of  $A$ , denoted by  $cl_\gamma(A)$ . A subset  $A$  is  $\gamma$ -closed iff  $A = cl_\gamma(A)$ . We denote the family of all  $\gamma$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  by  $\tau^\gamma$ . It is shown in [5] that  $\tau^\gamma$  is a topology on  $X$ . In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , it is always true that  $\tau \subseteq S(X) \subseteq \tau^\gamma$ .

**Definition 1.2** [5] A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\gamma$ -continuous if the inverse image of every open set of  $Y$  is  $\gamma$ -open in  $X$ .

The set of all  $\gamma$ -continuous functions from  $X$  into  $Y$  is denoted by  $\gamma C(X, Y)$ .

**Definition 1.3** [5] A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\gamma$ -irresolute if the inverse image of every  $\gamma$ -open set of  $Y$  is  $\gamma$ -open in  $X$ .

**Definition 1.4** A net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$  is said to converge to a limit  $x \in X$  (in symbol,  $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ ) if for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$ ,  $\exists$  a  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  implies  $x_\lambda \in V$ .

**Definition 1.5** [1] A net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$  is said to  $\gamma$ -converge to a limit  $x \in X$  (in symbol,  $x_\lambda \rightarrow^\gamma x$ ) if for every  $\gamma$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$ ,  $\exists$  a  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  implies  $x_\lambda \in V$ .

**Definition 1.6** Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on a topological space  $X$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is said to converge to  $x$  if each neighborhood of  $x$  is a member of  $\Gamma$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}_x \subseteq \Gamma$ .

## 2 $\gamma$ -convergence of nets and filters

In this article we first discuss the  $\gamma$ -convergence of nets. Then we discuss the  $\gamma$ -convergence of filters.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $X$  be a topological space. A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is said to be a  $\gamma$ -neighborhood of a point  $x \in X$  if and only if there exists a  $\gamma$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq A$ .

The set of all  $\gamma$ -neighborhoods of a point  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $\gamma\mathcal{N}_x$ .

**Theorem 2.2** [1] A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  (where  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces) is  $\gamma$ -irresolute at a point  $x \in X$  iff for any net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$ , the net  $\{f(x_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $f(x)$  in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 2.3** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  iff for any net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$ , the net  $\{f(x_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  converges to  $f(x)$  in  $Y$ .

Proof : First assume that  $f$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous at  $x \in X$ . Let  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a net in  $X$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$ . Let  $V$  be an open set in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ . Now there exists a  $\gamma$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . Now  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $x$  implies that there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $x_\lambda \in U$  for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Hence, for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ,  $f(x_\lambda) \in V$ . This shows that  $\{f(x_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  lies eventually in  $V$  and hence it converges to  $f(x)$ .

Conversely, let  $f$  be not  $\gamma$ -continuous at  $x$ . Then there exists an open set  $W$  containing  $f(x)$  in  $Y$  such that from every  $\gamma$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x \in X$ , there exists an element  $x_U$  with  $f(x_U) \notin W$ . Let  $\gamma\mathcal{N}_x$  be the  $\gamma$ -neighborhood system at  $x$ . So,  $\{x_U : U \in \gamma\mathcal{N}_x\}$  is a net in  $X$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$ , but the net  $\{f(x_U) : U \in \gamma\mathcal{N}_x\}$  in  $Y$  does not lie eventually in  $W$  and consequently it cannot converge to  $f(x)$ .

Next we form the  $\gamma$ -neighborhood filter at a point  $x \in X$  and discuss the notion of  $\gamma$ -convergence of filters.

**Definition 2.4** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. For  $x \in X$ , let  $\gamma(x) = \{A \subseteq X : x \in A\}$ . Then  $\gamma(x)$  has the finite intersection property. Thus  $\gamma(x)$  is a filter subbasis on  $X$ . Let  $\gamma_x$  be the filter generated by  $\gamma(x)$ , i.e.,  $\gamma_x = \{A \subseteq X : \text{there exists } \mu \subseteq \tau^\gamma \text{ such that } \mu \text{ is finite and } \bigcap \mu \subseteq A\}$ .  $\gamma_x$  will be called the  $\gamma$ -neighborhood filter at  $x$ .

**Definition 2.5** Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\Gamma$  is said to  $\gamma$ -converge to  $x \in X$  iff  $\Gamma$  is finer than the  $\gamma$ -neighborhood filter at  $x$ .

**Definition 2.6** Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\Gamma$  is said to  $\gamma$ -cluster to  $x \in X$  iff every  $F \in \Gamma$  intersects each  $A \in \gamma(x)$ .

**Example 2.7** Consider  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ . Then  $\tau^\gamma = \{\Phi, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}\}$ . Then the  $\gamma$ -neighborhood system at 4 consists of  $\{1, 4\}$ ,  $\{1, 2, 4\}$  and  $X$ . Now,  $\gamma(4) = \{\{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, X\}$  and  $\gamma_4 = \{\{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, X\}$ . Then  $\gamma_4$  is the  $\gamma$ -neighborhood filter at 4.

Consider the filter  $\Gamma = \{\{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, X\}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is finer than  $\gamma_4$  and hence  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -converges to 4. Also every  $F \in \Gamma$  meets each  $A \in \gamma(4)$ . Hence  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters at 4.

**Proposition 2.8** Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $(X, \tau)$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$  in  $X$ . Then  $\Gamma$  also converges to  $x$ .

Proof : Obvious.

**Proposition 2.9** Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $(X, \tau)$  which  $\gamma$ -clusters to  $x$  in  $X$ . Then  $x \in \bigcap \{cl_\gamma(F) : F \in \Gamma\}$ .

Proof : Obvious.

**Definition 2.10** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $X$ . Then a point  $x \in X$  is said to be a strong  $\gamma$ -cluster point of  $\Gamma$  iff every  $F \in \Gamma$  intersects each  $A \in \gamma_x$ .

**Proposition 2.11** If  $\Gamma$  is a filter on  $(X, \tau)$  strongly  $\gamma$ -clustering to  $x \in X$ , then  $\Gamma$  also  $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ .

Proof : Obvious.

That the converse may not be true is proved by the following example.

**Example 2.12** Consider  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{2, 3\}, \{4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$ . Then the  $\gamma$ -open sets are  $\Phi, X, \{2, 3\}, \{4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then  $\gamma(1) = \{\{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, X\}$  and the  $\gamma$ -neighborhood filter at 1 is  $\gamma_1 = \{\{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1\}, X\}$ . Consider the filter  $\Gamma = \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, X\}$ . Clearly  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters to 1 since every  $F \in \Gamma$  meets each  $A \in \gamma(1)$ . But  $\Gamma$  does not strongly  $\gamma$ -cluster to 1 since  $\{2, 4\} \in \Gamma$ ,  $\{1\} \in \gamma_1$ , but  $\{2, 4\} \cap \{1\} = \phi$ .

**Definition 2.13** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function and  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $X$ . Let  $f(\Gamma) = \{f(F) : F \in \Gamma\}$ . Then  $f(\Gamma)$  may not be a filter on  $Y$ , but it is a base for some filter on  $Y$  and this filter is denoted by the symbol  $f^\#(\Gamma)$  and is called the image of the filter  $\Gamma$  under the map  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.14** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function where  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces. Then  $f$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous at  $x \in X$  iff for any filter  $\Gamma$  on  $X$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$ , the filter  $f^\#(\Gamma)$  on  $Y$  converges to  $f(x)$ .

Proof : First assume that  $f$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous at  $x$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $X$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$ . Let  $W$  be a neighborhood of  $f(x)$  in  $Y$ . Then there exists a  $\gamma$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$  in  $X$  with  $f(V) \subset W$ . Now since  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $x$ , so  $V \in \Gamma$  and hence  $f(V) \in f(\Gamma) \Rightarrow W \in f^\#(\Gamma)$ . So,  $f^\#(\Gamma)$  converges to  $f(x)$ .

To prove the converse, assume that the given condition holds. Let  $W$  be a neighborhood of  $f(x)$  in  $Y$  and  $\Gamma$  be the filter of all  $\gamma$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then clearly  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $x$  and hence by the assumed condition, the filter  $f^\#(\Gamma)$  on  $Y$  converges to  $f(x)$  and so  $W \in f^\#(\Gamma)$ . Now  $W \in f^\#(\Gamma) \Rightarrow W \supset f(V)$  for some  $\gamma$ -neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$ , i.e.,  $W \supset f(V)$  for some  $\gamma$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$ . This shows that  $f$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous at  $x$ .

**Theorem 2.15** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function where  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces. Then  $f$  is  $\gamma$ -irresolute at  $x \in X$  iff for any filter  $\Gamma$  on  $X$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$ , the filter  $f^\#(\Gamma)$  on  $Y$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $f(x)$ .

Proof : Let  $f$  be  $\gamma$ -irresolute at  $x$  and let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $X$   $\gamma$ -converging to  $x$ . Let  $V$  be a  $\gamma$ -open set containing  $f(x)$ . Since  $f$  is  $\gamma$ -irresolute at  $x$ , there exists a  $\gamma$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . Now since  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $x$ ,  $U \in \Gamma$  and hence  $f(U) \in f(\Gamma) \Rightarrow V \supset f(U) \in f^\#(\Gamma)$ . Thus the filter  $f^\#(\Gamma)$  on  $Y$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $f(x)$ .

Conversely, let the given condition holds. Let  $V$  be a  $\gamma$ -open set in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$  and  $\Gamma$  be the filter of all  $\gamma$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then clearly  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $x$  and by the given condition, the filter  $f^\#(\Gamma)$  on  $Y$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $f(x)$  and hence  $V \in f^\#(\Gamma)$ . This implies that  $V \supset f(U)$  for some  $\gamma$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$ . Hence the function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\gamma$ -irresolute at  $x$ .

### 3 Characterizations of $\gamma$ -compact spaces

In this article we first introduce the notion of  $\gamma$ -compact spaces and then try to characterize such spaces.

**Definition 3.1** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\gamma$ -compact if every  $\gamma$ -open cover of  $X$ , i.e., a cover of  $X$  by  $\gamma$ -open sets in  $X$  has a finite subcover.

**Result 3.2** It has been shown in [3] that  $\gamma$ - compactness implies compactness and Latif has given an example to show that the converse may not be true.

**Definition 3.3** Let  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a net in  $X$ . Then the family of tails  $\{T_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  where,  $T_\lambda = \{x_\mu : \mu \geq \lambda\}$  is a base for some filter on  $X$ . This filter is called the filter generated by the net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ .

**Definition 3.4** Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $X$ . Let  $D_\Gamma = \{(x, F) : x \in F \in \Gamma\}$ . If we set for any two  $(x, F), (y, G) \in D_\Gamma$ ,  $(x, F) \geq (y, G)$  iff  $F \subseteq G$ , then  $(D_\Gamma, \geq)$  becomes a directed set. Then the map  $P_\Gamma : D_\Gamma \rightarrow X : (x, F) \rightarrow x$  is a net in  $X$  which is called the net defined by the filter  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$  in  $X$  iff the filter generated by the net  $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ .

Proof : Let the net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ . We have to show that the filter  $\Gamma$  generated by the net also  $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ . Choose any  $\gamma$ - neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ . Since  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ , there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $x_\lambda \in U$ , for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , i.e.,  $T_{\lambda_0} \in U$ . Since  $T_{\lambda_0}$  is the base for the filter  $\Gamma$ , so  $T_{\lambda_0} \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $U \in \Gamma$ . Thus the filter  $\Gamma$  generated by the net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ .

Conversely, let the filter  $\Gamma$  generated by the net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\gamma$ - neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ ,  $U \in \Gamma$ . Now since  $\{T_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a base for  $\Gamma$ , there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $T_{\lambda_0} \subseteq U$ , i.e.,  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \geq \lambda_0\} \subseteq U$ . Thus  $x_\lambda \in U$ , for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Hence the net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A filter  $\Gamma$  on  $X$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$  iff the net  $P_\Gamma : D_\Gamma \rightarrow X$  defined by the filter  $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ .

Proof : Let  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ . Choose a  $\gamma$ - neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ . Then  $U \in \Gamma$  and so  $(x, U) \in D_\Gamma$ . Let  $(y, V) \in D_\Gamma$  be such that  $(y, V) \geq (x, U)$ . Then  $y \in V \subseteq U$ , i.e.,  $P_\Gamma(y, V) \in U$ . This shows that the net  $P_\Gamma$  lies eventually in  $U$  and hence the net  $P_\Gamma$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ .

Conversely, let the net  $P_\Gamma : D_\Gamma \rightarrow X$  generated by the filter  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ - converges to  $x$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\gamma$ - neighborhood of  $x$ . Then the net  $P_\Gamma$  lies eventually in  $U$ , i.e., there exists  $(y, V) \in D_\Gamma$  such that for all  $(z, G) \geq (y, V)$ ,  $P_\Gamma(z, G) = z \in U$ . In particular, for any point  $k \in V$ ,

$(k, V) \geq (y, V)$ , so that  $k \in U$ . This shows that  $V \subseteq U$ . But  $(y, V) \in D_\Gamma \Rightarrow V \in \Gamma \Rightarrow U \in \Gamma$ . Hence the filter  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -converges to  $x$ .

**Definition 3.7** A net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is said to  $\gamma$ -cluster at a point  $x \in X$  if given a  $\gamma$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda$ , there exists a  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $x_\lambda \in U$ , for all  $\lambda \geq \mu$ . We say that the net lies frequently in  $U$ .

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$   $\gamma$ -clusters at a point  $x \in X$  iff the filter generated by the net  $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ .

Proof : Suppose  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\gamma$ -neighborhood of  $x$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the filter generated by the net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and  $F \in \Gamma$ . We have to show that  $U \cap F \neq \phi$ . If possible, let  $U \cap F = \phi$ . Since  $F \in \Gamma$ , there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $T_{\lambda_0} \subseteq F$ , i.e.,  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \geq \lambda_0\} \subseteq F$ . Therefore,  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \geq \lambda_0\} \cap U = \phi$ . Hence for  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ , there does not exist  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $x_\lambda \in U$ , for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . This implies that  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  does not  $\gamma$ -cluster at  $x$ , a contradiction. Hence  $U \cap F \neq \phi$  and thus the filter  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ .

Conversely, let the filter  $\Gamma$  generated by the net  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\gamma$ -neighborhood of  $x$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ . Since  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ ,  $U \cap F \neq \phi$ , for all  $F \in \Gamma$ . Now  $T_{\lambda_0} \in \Gamma \Rightarrow T_{\lambda_0} \cap U \neq \phi \Rightarrow$  there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $x_\lambda \in U$  for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 \Rightarrow \{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.9** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A filter  $\Gamma$  on  $X$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$  iff the net  $P_\Gamma : D_\Gamma \rightarrow X$  defined by the filter  $\gamma$ -clusters to  $x$ .

Proof : Let  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\gamma$ -neighborhood of  $x$  and  $(y, F) \in D_\Gamma$ . Then  $y \in F \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ ,  $F \cap U \neq \phi$  and so we can choose a point  $z \in F \cap U$ . Then  $(z, F) \in D_\Gamma$  and  $(z, F) \geq (y, F)$  implies that  $P_\Gamma(z, F) = z \in U$ . This shows that the net  $P_\Gamma$  is frequently in  $U$  and hence  $P_\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ .

Conversely, let the net  $P_\Gamma : D_\Gamma \rightarrow X$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ . Given any  $\gamma$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , let  $G$  be an arbitrary member of  $\Gamma$ . We have to show that  $U \cap G \neq \phi$ . Choose any  $y \in G$  [since  $G \in \Gamma$ ,  $G \neq \phi$ ]. Since  $P_\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$  and  $(y, G) \in D_\Gamma$ , there exists  $(z, H) \in D_\Gamma$  with  $(z, H) \geq (y, G)$ . Therefore,  $z \in H \subseteq G$  such that  $P_\Gamma(z, H) = z \in U$ . Hence  $G \cap U \neq \phi$ . Thus the filter  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ -clusters at  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.10** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\gamma$ - compact iff for every collection of  $\gamma$ - closed sets  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$  possessing finite intersection property (in short, f.i.p.), the intersection  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha$  of the entire collection is nonempty.

Proof : Let  $(X, \tau)$  be  $\gamma$ - compact and let  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a collection of  $\gamma$ - closed sets having f.i.p. If possible, let  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha = \phi$ . Then  $X = X \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (X \setminus F_\alpha)$ . Thus  $\{X \setminus F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a  $\gamma$ - open cover of  $X$ . Thus there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} (X \setminus F_\alpha) = X \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} F_\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} F_\alpha = \phi$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha \neq \phi$ .

Conversely, let  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a  $\gamma$ - open cover of  $X$ . Then  $\phi = X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} G_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} (X \setminus G_\alpha)$ . Since  $\{X \setminus G_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is a collection of  $\gamma$ - closed sets, by hypothesis, it cannot have f.i.p. Thus there exists a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I_0} (X \setminus G_\alpha) = \phi$ , then  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} G_\alpha$ , proving that  $X$  is  $\gamma$ - compact.

**Theorem 3.11** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\gamma$ - compact iff every filter in  $X$  has a  $\gamma$ - cluster point in  $X$ .

Proof : First let  $X$  be  $\gamma$ - compact. Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter on  $X$ . Then  $cl_\gamma \Gamma = \{cl_\gamma F : F \in \Gamma\}$  is a family of  $\gamma$ - closed subsets of  $X$  with f.i.p. Since  $X$  is  $\gamma$ - compact,  $\bigcap_{F \in \Gamma} cl_\gamma F \neq \phi$ . Take a point  $x \in \bigcap_{F \in \Gamma} cl_\gamma F$ . Then  $x$  is a  $\gamma$ - cluster point of  $\Gamma$ .

To prove the converse, let the condition holds. Let  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} = \mathcal{B}$  be a family of  $\gamma$ - closed sets in  $X$  with f.i.p. Now there exists a filter  $\Gamma$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Gamma$ . By the assumed condition,  $\Gamma$   $\gamma$ - clusters at  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \in cl_\gamma F$ , for all  $F \in \Gamma$ . But each  $G_\alpha \in \Gamma \Rightarrow x \in cl_\gamma(G_\alpha)$ , for all  $\alpha \in I$ , i.e.,  $x \in G_\alpha$ , for all  $\alpha \in I$ . So  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} G_\alpha \neq \phi$ . Hence  $X$  is  $\gamma$ - compact.

With the help of the above proved theorems, we can now state that :

**Theorem 3.12** The following conditions are equivalent for a topological space  $X$  :

- (a)  $X$  is  $\gamma$ - compact.
- (b) Every filter in  $X$  has a  $\gamma$ - cluster point.
- (c) Every net in  $X$  has a  $\gamma$ - cluster point.



## References

- [1] S. Ganguly and Ritu Sen ; *The  $\gamma$ - open open topology for function spaces*, communicated.
- [2] R. M. Latif ; *Semi convergence of Filters and Nets*, Math. J. of Okayama University, 4 (1999), 103–109.
- [3] R. M. Latif ; *Characterizations and Applications of  $\gamma$ - open sets*, accepted for publication in Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences.
- [4] N. Levine ; *Semi open sets and semi continuity in Topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36–41.
- [5] W. K. Min ;  *$\gamma$ - sets and  $\gamma$ - continuous functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. , 31 (2002), no 3, 177–181.