Cuad. Bot. Canar. XVIII/XIX: 17-23; 73

## The Umbelliferae of Macaronesia

By V. H. Heywood

(recibido en la redacción: 7.8.1973)

Although the Umbelliferae do not constitute one of the largest families in the Macaronesian flora, they are richly represented and contain many interesting endemic genera and species. In terms of crude statistics there are 34 genera of which 5 are endemic: *Drusa*, *Tinguarra*, *Todaroa*, *Astydamia* and *Melanoselinum*, and 61 species of which 31 are endemic.

#### HYDROCOTYLOIDEAE

The subfamily Hydrocotyloideae is represented by two species Hydrocotyle vulgaris in the Azores and the endemic Drusa glandulosa (Poir.) Bornm. which is found in all the islands of the Canaries group and has been recorded once from Madeira. Drusa glandulosa was first described by Poiret as a species of Sicyos of the Cucurbitaceae and was made the basis of a new genus, Drusa by De Candolle. It is monotypic and is allied to the South American Bowlesia and Chilean Homalocarpus. It has been revised recently by Mathias and Constance (1965) who give a full discussion of its affinities. Although several authors have united Drusa with Bowlesia or have placed those species of Bowlesia which possess glochidiate fruits in Drusa, there does not seem to be a very close relationship between the genera and they are best kept distinct. Mathias and Constance comment that "the closest relationship of Drusa might appear to be with Bowlesia uncinata of Chile, but we have no theory to explain the tremendous geographical disjunction".

Department of Botany, Plant Science Laboratories, University of Reading.

The distribution given by Mathias and Constance needs slight revision. In their map (fig. 23) a locality should be added to the island of Gomera, and they do not list Madeira where the species has been recorded by Menezes (cf. Hansen, 1969). The records from the Moroccan mainland are dubious and apparently erroneous.

## SANICULOIDEAE

The subfamily Saniculoideae is represented in the Macaronesian flora by a single species *Sanicula azorica* which is endemic to the Azores. It is curious that the genus *Eryngium* which has a large concentration of species in N. Africa is not found at all in the region.

## APIOIDEAE

The vast majority of the Macaronesian Umbelliferae belong to the subfamily Apioideae.

Scandiceae - Scandicinae. This subtribe contains the genus Tinguarra (T. cervariaefolia) which is endemic to the Canary Islands (only on Gomera, Tenerife, Palma, and Hierro). The taxonomic position of this genus is not clear: it is considered by Bentham (1867) as containing three species, T. sicula (L.) Benth, T. cervariaefolia Parl. and T. montana Webb. The first of these is currently regarded as an Athamanta (A. sicula L.) which grows in Sicily and C. and S. Italy; the second is the Canarian endemic species; while the third is placed in the genus Todaroa, ditypic Canarian endemic genus. It is clear that a detailed study of the taxonomy and relationships of these species is required.

Another member of the *Scandicinae* that requires further study is *Scandix pecten-veneris* subsp. *brachycarpa* (Guss.) Thell. The type-subspecies is widespread in the Canary Islands and in Madeira but subsp. *brachycarpa* is a Mediterranean taxon which occurs in Greece, Italy and Sicily. Its status in the Canary Islands from where it has been recorded needs examination.

A further endemic member of the *Scandicinae* is *Chaerophyllum azoricum* Trel., confined to the Azores.

Caucalideae - Caucalinae. This subtribe is represented in the Macaronesian region by three common species of Torilis, T. nodosa, T. leptophylla and T. arvensis. Lowe (1868), however, described a number of Madeiran endemic species — T. brevipes, T. obscura and T. tenuifolia — but these appear to be conspecific with T. arvensis and further studies are in progress at Reading (S. L. Jury, unpublished).

The tribes *Coriandreae* and *Smyrnieae* do not contain any noteworthy species.

Apieae - Apiinae. This subtribe is well represented in the region and contains numerous endemics and other taxa of phytogeographical interest. Amongst these is Ruthea herbanica Bolle which is endemic to the Canary Islands (Fuerteventura); the only other species of the genus is R. burchellii (Hook. fil.) Drude, a rare plant restricted to St. Helena.

There are six species of *Bupleurum* in the Macaronesian flora of which three are endemic: *B. salicifolium* Solander in Madeira, *B. aciphyllum* Webb & Berth. in the Canary Islands (previously confused with the preceding species — cf. Cauwet, 1971 and Hansen, 1970) and *B. handiense* Bolle (Canary Islands, Fuerteventura and Lanzarote).

Cryptotaenia is represented by a single endemic species, C. elegans Webb ex Bolle found in the Laurel forests of the Western Canary Islands. The genus is somewhat confused in the literature: Drude (1878) divided into two sections — sect. Cyrtospermum (= Cryptotaenia) containing C. canadensis and Sect. Lereschia (Lereschia Boiss.) with C. thomasii and C. africana, with no mention of the Canary Island species. Wolff (1927), on the other hand, divided the genus into three sections — sect. Cyrtospermum with C. canadensis, sect. Lereschia with C. thomasii and C. flahaultii, and sect. Afrosciadium with C. africana and the Canarian C. elegans. C. canadensis is nowadays interpreted so as to include C. japonica and its consequent distribution is E. North America and Japan (cf. Iliroe and Constance, 1958). C. thomasii from Calabria, S. Italy, is currently treated as belon-

ging to a separate genus, Lereschia which Tutin (1968) regards as 'very similar to Petagnia in appearance and perhaps more closely related to it than to Cryptotaenia'. C. africana which is known from Tropical West Africa and the Canary Island species, C. elegans are distinct from C. canadensis but whether they should either or both be placed in the genus Lereschia along with C. thomasii or treated as a separate genus is a matter requiring further detailed investigation.

The situation is further complicated by an overlooked paper by Koso-Poljansky (1915) in which he replaced the genus Cryptotaenia by Deringa Adanson\* on nomenclatural grounds which he then divided into sect. Cryptotaeniopsis comprising D. vulgaris which is usuay treated as a separate genus Cryptotaeniopsis, and sect. Cryptotaenia which he divided into two series, Alacospermum with D. canadensis and D. japoncia and series Lereschia with D. flahaultii and D. thomasii. He regarded the African species, D. africana as very distinct on the grounds that it possesses biternate (not ternate) leaves with ovate petiolulate scabrid sagments but since he had not seen fruits he was dubious as to its position although he in fact included it in *Deringa*. On the other hand he considered Cryptotaenia elegans as certainly to be excluded from *Deringa* and probably referable to the genus Sphallerocarpus.

The position of *C. elegans* appears then, to be much disputed — should it be included with the *Lereschia* group, with the African species in a separate group, or should it be excluded from *Cryptotaenia* altogether? A full study of the whole genus is being undertaken at Reading prompted by this situation. This illustrates the way in which a consideration of a Macaronesian species requires an investigation of other species from very diverse areas — Canary Islands, Calabria, Caucasus, Tropical Africa, North America and Japan. Until firm taxonomic conclusions can be arrived at the 'stark disjunkter Verbreitung' which Melchior com-

<sup>\*</sup>Cryptotaenia has since been conserved against Deringa.

ments on in the *Syllabus der Pflanzenfamilien* may be more apparent than real. It is dangerous to rely on inadequate taxonomy for drawing phytogeographical conclusions.

The genus *Ammi* contains three endemic species in Macaronesia *A. procerum* Lowe from the Canary Islands and Madeira, and two Azorean entities — *A. huntii* Wats. and *A. trifoliatum* (Wats.) Trel. which Tutin (in Palhinha, 1966) regards, along with a further species *A. seubertianum* (Wats.) Trel., as representing local populations of a single species but with *A. trifoliatum* as the most distinctive.

The single species of *Bunium* in the region is *B. brevifolium* Lowe, endemic to Madeira.

The genus *Pimpinella* shows a remarkable development in the region with four of the five species endemic to the Canary Islands: *P. anagodendron* Bolle (inl. *P. rupicola* Svent.) on basalt blocks in Tenerife, *P. junionae* Ceb. & Ort. on Gomera, *P. cumbrae* in the subalpine zone of Tenerife and *P. dendrotragium* in Palma and Tenerife.

# Apieae — Seselinae

In addition to an endemic Seseli in the Canary Islands (S. webbii Coss.) and an endemic Oenanthe in Madeira (O. pteridifolia Lowe), this subtribe contains the endemic genus Todaroa containing two Canary Island species, T. montana Webb and T. aurea Parl. Both these species have recently reported as having 2n = 22 (Bramwell et al., 1971) as does  $Tinguarra\ cervariaefolia$  (cf. Moore, 1971). The relationships of these species, as indicated above, required further study.

## Peucedaneae

In this tribe four of the five species in the Macaronesian flora are endemic, including one genus *Astydamia* which has a single species *A. latifolia* (L. fil.) O. Ktze. in Madeira and all the Canary Islands.

This halophyte which resembles *Crithmum maritimum* in ecology has also been recorded from N. Africa. The genus *Ferula* is represented by *F. linkii* Webb, endemic to the Canary Islands except Lanzarote where it is replaced by the

endemic F. lancerottense Parl. There is a single species of Peucedanum — P. lowei (Coss.) Menz., endemic to Madeira.

# Laserpitieae

This tribe is represented by the remarkable development of an endemic genus Melanoselinum containing seven endemic species — one in Madeira and the Azores, one in Madeira and five in the Cape Verde Islands. The chromosome numbers so far reported are variable with 2n=18,20 and 22 (Bramwell et al. 1972).

#### Dauceae

Apart from Daucus carota and D.aureus, the other representative of this tribe in the region is Ammodaucus leucotrichus Coss. & Dur. which is the subject of current research at Reading in view of its obscure relationships. This species is found also in Morocco, Algeria and Libya and extends S. to Timbuktoo. It was recently described again from Morocco as a new species of Cuminum, C. maroccanum Davis & Hedge which we have shown to be identical with Ammodaucus leucotrichus. However, the relationships between Ammodaucus, Cuminum and Psammogeton are highly confused following the earlier proposal of Wagenitz (1956) to remove part of Psammogeton to Cuminum, i.e. P. setifolium and P. borsczovii (Urals to Caspian) from C. Asia and Iran while P. canescens syn. P. crinitum and P. brevisetus from Persia, Afghanistan and Pakistan are left in Psammogeton.

The results of our studies of fruit structure, anatomy, pollen and chemistry indicate a multidimensional relationship between all these taxa and still further assessment is needed. Phytogeographical conclusions, under the circumstances, would be premature to say the least.

#### References

BRAMWELL, D., HUMPHRIES, C.J., MURRAY, B.G., & OWENS, S.J. 1971; Chromosome Numbers in Plants from the Canary Islands. *Bot. Notiser* 124: 376 - 382.

BRAMWELL, D., HUMPHRIES, C.J., MURRAY, B.G., & OWENS, S.J. 1972; Chromosome Studies in the Flora of Macaronesia. *Ibid* 125: 139-152.

- BENTHAM, G. 1867; Umbelliferae In Bentham, G. & Hooker, J.D. Genera Plantarum 1: 859-931.
- CAUWET, A. M., 1971: Polyploïde et répartition geographique dans le genre Bupleurum. C. R. Soc. Biogeogr. 413 (1970) 67-79.
- DRUDE 1897-1898: Umbelliferae: In Engler A. & Prantl. K.: Die Naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien 3 (8): 63-250.
- HANSEN, A. 1969; Checklist of the Vascular Plants of the Archipelago of Madeira. Bol. Mus. Municip. Funchal 24: 1-74.
- HANSEN, A. 1970; Contributions to the Flora of the Canary Islands (especially Tenerife). Cuad. Bot. Canar. 9: 37-59.
- HIROE, M. & CONSTANCE, L. 1958; Umbelliferae of Japan. Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. 30: 1-144.
- LOWE, R.T. 1868; A Manual Flora of Madeira and the adjacent Islands of Porto Santo and the Desertas. London.
- KOSO-POLJANSKY, B. 1915: Species Umbelliferarum minus cognitae illustratae a. B. Koso-Poljansky II. Vestnik Tiflisskogo Botaniches kogo Sada Tiflis. 3-4: 136-174.
- MATHIAS, M. & CONSTANCE, L. 1965: A Revision of the Genus Bowlesia Ruiz & Pavon (Umbelliferae — Hydrocotyloideae) and its relatives. Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. Bot. 38: 1-73.
- PALHINHA, R.T. 1966: Catálogo das plantas vasculares des Açores. Lisboa. 186pp.
- TUTIN, T. G. 1968; Cryptotaenia DC; Lereschia Boiss. In T. G. Tutin, V. H. Heywood et al. Flora Europaea vol 2, pp. 352-353. Cambridge.
- MOORE, D. M. 1971; Chromosome Studies in the Umbelliferae, In V. H. Heywood (ed.) The Biology and Chemistry of the Umbelliferae. pp. 233-247. Academic Press. London & New York.
- WAGENITZ, G. 1956; Die Gattung Psammogeton Edgew. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Gesell. 69: 227-238
- WOLFF, H. 1927; Umbelliferae Apioideae Ammineae Carinae, Amminae novemjugatae et genuine. In Engler. A.. Das Pflanzenreich IV, 90: 1-398.
- Nota de la redacción: Trabajo leido durante el "I Congreso Internacional pro Flora Macaronésica" (veáse Monogr. Biol. Canar. No. 4, 1973).

#### RESEÑA

O. POLUNIN & B. E. SMYTHIES: Flowers of South-West Europe. A Field Guide. — With 80 pp of illustrations in colour from photogr. taken by the authors and others, 27 pp. of line drawings by Barbara Everard, 34 pp. of line drawings by Jill Smythies, and maps by John Callow. Oxford University Press; XV + 480 pp.; London 1973. £ 6.

Flora del Suroeste de Europa, es decir, de la Península Ibérica y del suroeste de Francia: una obra de suma importancia para botánicos, geógrafos, y para excursionistas y turistas interesados; quizás la obra popular más importante para el área publicada hasta la fecha. Aunque existe sólo en su versión original (inglés), podemos estar casi seguros de futuras ediciones en español y portugués. La obra, además, es generosamente ilustrada, punto interesante para cualquier identificación de plantas.

Esta obra ha sido designada por especialistas en la materia; me refiero tanto a las plantas tratadas como a la preparación de obras exitosas. Este suroeste de Europa, que atrae quizás más de 30 millones de turistas, anualmente, es área preferida de "amateurs". En la introducción misma, los autores presentan una advertencia: Muchas de las especies tratadas se encuentran en peligro de extinción. ¡Tengan cuidado! Por eso varias de las especies (en el libro) llevan un cierto signo — + — plantas a proteger.

El libro comienza con un prefacio, explicando las finalidades de la obra, la selección de especies tratadas, y la necesidad de protección de esta riqueza florística. Sigue un capítulo (39 pp.) sobre la geografía de la zona, su geología, su clima y su vegetación en general; este capítulo está acompañado por casi 20 mapas. Luego el capítulo (127 pp.) sobre áreas selectivos, con descripciones de paisajes y listas de plantas. La parte central (pp. 167-413) ocupa la enumeración (selectiva) de las plantas mismas, con claves, y notas sobre su distribución. Esta enumeración se presenta en orden sistemático, desde las coníferas hasta terminar en orquídeas (unas 50 spp. tratadas, y otras mencionadas). De suma importancia para tales obras son los índices, y aquí tenemos 30 pp. mencionando nombres científicos y los vernáculos respectivos (si son conocidos) en inglés, español, portugués y francés. Siguen los registros de nombres de localidades, de plantas tratadas, y de referencias. En cuanto a las excelentes ilustraciones lamentamos que no todas las especies tratadas son ilustradas; en muchos casos se hace referencia a otra obra: "Flores de Europa"; y núm. 1266 (lám. 48) me parece ser más bien *Pittosporum undulatum*, pero, quizás estoy equivocado.— Sin embargo y fuera de crítica alguna: una obra importante que se recomienda "most warmheartiest".

G. K.